

## Berry–Esséen Bounds for (Absolute) Moments of Dominated Measures

DIETER LANDERS

*Mathematisches Institut der Universität zu Köln,  
D-5000 Köln 41, Federal Republic of Germany*

AND

LOTHAR ROGGE

*Universität–GH–Duisburg, Fachbereich 11–Mathematik,  
D-4100 Duisburg 1, Federal Republic of Germany*

*Communicated by P. L. Butzer*

Received April 23, 1985

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$  be a probability space and  $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ .  $\mathcal{L}_s$  denotes the system of all  $\mathcal{A}$ -measurable  $X: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $\|X\|_s < \infty$  where  $\|X\|_s = (\int |X|^s dP)^{1/s}$  for  $1 \leq s < \infty$  and  $\|X\|_\infty = \inf\{c > 0: |X| \leq c \text{ P-a.e.}\}$ . Let  $X_n \in \mathcal{L}_3$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be a sequence of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with variance  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Put  $S_n^* = 1/\sqrt{n}\sigma \sum_{v=1}^n (X_v - P[X_v])$ , where  $P[X_v] = \int X_v dP$ . If  $g \in \mathcal{L}_1$ , denote

$$d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)) := \inf\{\|g - g_0\|_1: g_0 \text{ is } \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)\text{-measurable}\}.$$

Denote by  $\Phi$  the standard normal distribution as well as its distribution function in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

In this paper we give conditions which guarantee that

$$|P[(f \circ S_n^*) g] - \Phi[f] P[g]| = O(n^{-1/2})$$

for suitable functions  $f$  and  $g$ . For  $g \equiv 1$  this was one of the central problems of probability theory. Results of the above kind have been proven for  $g \equiv 1$ , essentially for three types of functions  $f$ , namely

- (a)  $f = 1_{(-\infty, t]}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,
- (b)  $f$  is smooth and bounded,

(c)  $f$  is smooth and fulfills certain growth conditions, e.g.,  $f(x) = |x|^p$  or  $f(x) = x^p$ .

(The “smoothness” condition in (c) has been weakened strongly in [4] to a “smoothness condition in mean.”)

For general functions  $g$  there exist corresponding results for functions  $f$  of type (a) and type (b) (see [1, 2]). In this paper we give results for functions  $f$  of type (c) (see Theorem 3 and the corollaries). The methods used in this paper are different from the methods used in [1, 2]; they are more direct and seem to be more natural.

Theorem 3 of this paper yields for instance

(i) If  $X_n \in \mathcal{L}_s$ ,  $s > 3$ , and  $g$  is a bounded density of a  $p$ -measure  $Q$  with respect to  $P$  such that

$$d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = O(n^{-1/2}(\lg n)^{-s/2}),$$

then

$$|Q[|S_n^*|^p] - \Phi[|x|^p]| = O(n^{-1/2})$$

for all  $1 \leq p \leq s$ .

(ii) If  $X_n \in \mathcal{L}_s$ ,  $s > 4$ , and  $g \in \mathcal{L}_s$  is a density of a  $p$ -measure  $Q$  with respect to  $P$  such that

$$d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = O(n^{-1/2}(\lg n)^{-(s-1)/2}),$$

then

$$|Q[f \circ S_n^*] - \Phi[f]| = O(n^{-1/2})$$

for each  $p$ -times differentiable  $f$  with bounded  $p$ th derivative,  $p \leq s - 1$ .

## 2. THE RESULTS

The following concept of functions of order  $p$  is basic for this paper.

1. DEFINITION.  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function of order  $p$  ( $p \geq 1$ ) if

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \leq c |x - y|(1 + |x|^{p-1} + |y|^{p-1}), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R},$$

with some suitable constant  $c$ . A function of order 1 is usually called a Lipschitz function.

The following remark gives important examples for functions of order  $p$ .

2. Remark. (a) If  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $p$ -times differentiable ( $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ) with bounded  $p$ th derivative, then  $f$  is a function of order  $p$ .

(b) If  $f(x) = |x|^p$  for some  $1 \leq p \in \mathbb{R}$  or  $f(x) = x^p$  for some  $1 \leq p \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $f$  is a function of order  $p$ .

*Proof.* For (a) use Taylor expansion; (b) is trivial.

The following theorem is the main result of this paper. Example 4 and the discussion below show that the assumptions of Theorem 3 are essentially optimal.

3. THEOREM. Let  $X_n \in \mathcal{L}_s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be i.i.d. with positive variance. Let  $g \in \mathcal{L}_r$  and  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function of order  $p$ . Assume that

$$d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = O(n^{-1/2}(\lg n)^{-\bar{p}/2})$$

for some  $\bar{p} \geq p$  with  $\bar{p} > 3$ . Then

$$(I) \quad |P[(f \circ S_n^*) g] - P[f \circ S_n^*] P[g]| = O(n^{-1/2}) \text{ and}$$

$$(II) \quad |P[(f \circ S_n^*) g] - \Phi[f] P[g]| = O(n^{-1/2})$$

if  $r > (s - 2)/(s - 3)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq ((r - 1)/r)s$  for  $3 < s < \infty$ , or  $r = \infty$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq s$  for  $s = 3$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove (I). Relation (II) follows from (I), since by Theorem 1 of [4]

$$|P[f \circ S_n^*] - \Phi[f]| = O(n^{-1/2}).$$

Let w.l.o.g.  $P[X_1] = 0$ ,  $P[X_1^2] = 1$ . There exist  $\sigma(X_1, \dots, X_v)$ -measurable functions  $g_v$  such that

$$P[|g - g_v|] = d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_v)) \leq cv^{-1/2}(\lg v)^{-\bar{p}/2}. \tag{1}$$

Let  $\mathbb{N}_1 = \{2^i: i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and put

$$h_2 = g_2, \quad h_v = g_v - g_{v/2} \quad \text{for } v \in \mathbb{N}_1, v \geq 4.$$

By (1) we have

$$P[|h_v|] \leq cv^{-1/2}(\lg v)^{-\bar{p}/2}, \quad v \in \mathbb{N}_1. \tag{2}$$

Put  $N_n = \{v \in \mathbb{N}_1: v \leq n/2\}$  and  $j(n) = \max N_n$ . Then for all  $n \geq 4$

$$g = g - g_{j(n)} + \sum_{v \in N_n} h_v.$$

Hence it suffices to prove

$$(A) \quad |P[f \circ S_n^*(g - g_{j(n)})] - P[f \circ S_n^*] P[g - g_{j(n)}]| = O(n^{-1/2}),$$

$$(B) \quad \sum_{v \in N_n} (P[(f \circ S_n^*) h_v] - P[f \circ S_n^*] P[h_v]) = O(n^{-1/2}).$$

Ad (A). As  $f$  is a function of order  $p$ , we have

$$f(S_n^*) = f(0) + S_n^* R(S_n^*, 0) \quad \text{with} \quad |R(S_n^*, 0)| \leq c(1 + |S_n^*|^{p-1}).$$

Hence we have to prove that

$$|P[S_n^*R(S_n^*, 0)(g - g_{j(n)})] - P[S_n^*R(S_n^*, 0)] P[g - g_{j(n)}]| = O(n^{-1/2}). \tag{3}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} &|P[S_n^*R(S_n^*, 0)] P[g - g_{j(n)}]| \\ &\leq c(P[|S_n^*| + |S_n^*|^p] P[|g - g_{j(n)}|]) = O(n^{-1/2}), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where the last relation follows from (1) and

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\|S_n^*\|_1 + \|S_n^*\|_p) < \infty;$$

Observe that  $p \leq s$  and  $j(n) \geq n/4$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .

Furthermore we have with  $A_n = \{|S_n^*| \geq \sqrt{(s-1) \lg n}\}$  and  $1/r' + 1/r = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} &|P[S_n^*R(S_n^*, 0)(g - g_{j(n)})]| \\ &\leq cP[(|S_n^*| + |S_n^*|^p) |g - g_{j(n)}|] \\ &\leq c(\lg n)^{p/2} P[|g - g_{j(n)}|] + c \int_{A_n} |S_n^*|^p |g - g_{j(n)}| dP \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{\leq} O(n^{-1/2}) + cn^{-p/2} P[|S_n^*|^p 1_{A_n} |g - g_{j(n)}|] \end{aligned}$$

and hence by the inequality of Hölder

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq O(n^{-1/2}) + cn^{-p/2} \| |S_n^*|^p 1_{A_n} \|_{r'} \|g - g_{j(n)}\|_r \\ &\stackrel{(+)}{\leq} O(n^{-1/2}) + cn^{-p/2} n^{(p-(s-2)/r')/2} \|g\|_r \stackrel{(+ +)}{\leq} O(n^{-1/2}), \end{aligned}$$

where (+) follows from (F1) (see end of the proof) and Lemma 8, and

(+ +) follows as  $r \geq (s-2)/(s-3)$  implies  $(s-2)/r' \geq 1$ .

Together with (4) we consequently obtain (3), and hence (A).

Ad (B). Since  $f$  is a function of order  $p$  we have

$$f(S_n^*) = f\left(\frac{S_n - S_v}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \frac{S_v}{\sqrt{n}} R\left(S_n^*, \frac{S_n - S_v}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \tag{5}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \left| R\left(S_n^*, \frac{S_n - S_v}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right| &\leq c \left( 1 + |S_n^*|^{p-1} + \left| \frac{S_n - S_v}{\sqrt{n}} \right|^{p-1} \right) \\ &\leq c + \frac{c}{n^{(p-1)/2}} (|S_v|^{p-1} + |S_n - S_v|^{p-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_v = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_v)$  and  $v < n$ . As  $h_v$  is  $\mathcal{A}_v$ -measurable, and hence independent from  $S_n - S_v$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{v,n} &= |P[f \circ S_n^*(h_v - P[h_v])]| \\
 &\stackrel{(5)}{=} \left| P \left[ f \left( \frac{S_n - S_v}{\sqrt{n}} \right) (h_v - P[h_v]) \right] \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + P \left[ \frac{S_v}{\sqrt{n}} R \left( S_n^*, \frac{S_n - S_v}{\sqrt{n}} \right) (h_v - P[h_v]) \right] \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left| P \left[ S_v R \left( S_n^*, \frac{S_n - S_v}{\sqrt{n}} \right) (h_v - P[h_v]) \right] \right| \\
 &\stackrel{(6)}{\leq} \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} P[|S_v| |h_v - P[h_v]|] \\
 &\quad + c \frac{1}{n^{p/2}} P[(|S_v|^p + |S_v| |S_n - S_v|^{p-1}) |h_v - P[h_v]|] \\
 &\leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} P[|S_v| |h_v|] + \frac{c}{\sqrt{n}} P[|S_v|] P[|h_v|] \\
 &\quad + c \frac{1}{n^{p/2}} \{ P[|S_v|^p |h_v|] + P[|S_v| |S_n - S_v|^{p-1} |h_v|] \\
 &\quad + P[|S_v|^p] P[|h_v|] + P[|S_v| |S_n - S_v|^{p-1}] P[|h_v|] \} \\
 &\leq c \frac{1}{n^{p/2}} P[|S_v|^p |h_v|] + c \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} P[|S_v h_v|] + c \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{1}{(\lg v)^{3/2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{v \in \mathbb{N}_1} 1/(\lg v)^{3/2} < \infty$ , we obtain (B) from Formula (F2).

It remains to prove (F1) and (F2).

(F1)  $(\int_{A_n} |S_n|^{q \cdot r'} dP)^{1/r'} \leq cn^{(q - (s-2)/r')/2}$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq p$ , where  $A_n = \{|S_n^*| \geq \sqrt{(s-1) \lg n}\}$  and  $1/r' + 1/r = 1$ .

(F2)  $1/n^{q/2} \sum_{v \in N_n} P[|S_v|^q |h_v|] = O(n^{-1/2})$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq p$ .

*Proof of (F1).* We have—using Lemma 9—where  $c$  is a general constant

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{A_n} |S_n|^{qr'} dP &= ((s-1) n \lg n)^{(qr')/2} \int_{A_n} \left| \frac{S_n^*}{\sqrt{(s-1) \lg n}} \right|^{qr'} dP \\
 &\leq c(n \lg n)^{(qr')/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} P\{|S_n^*| \geq k^{1/(qr')} \sqrt{(s-1) \lg n}\}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c(n \lg n)^{(qr')/2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left[ \frac{1}{k^{2s/(qr')}} \frac{1}{(\lg n)^s} \frac{1}{n^{(s-2)/2}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2nP\{|X_1| \geq ck^{1/(qr')} \sqrt{n \lg n}\} \right] \\ &\stackrel{(+)}{\leq} cn^{(qr' - (s-2))/2} + c(n \lg n)^{qr'/2} nP \left[ \left| \frac{|X_1|}{c \sqrt{n \lg n}} \right|^s \right] \\ &\leq cn^{(qr' - (s-2))/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality (+) follows, as  $p \leq s(r-1)/r$  implies  $s/(qr') \geq 1$ .

*Proof of (F2).* The case  $s=3$  and  $q=1$  follows similarly as formula (15) in the proof of Theorem 2 of [1]. Let therefore  $s > 3$  or  $q > 1$ . We have by Hölder

$$\begin{aligned} P[|S_v|^q |h_v|] &\leq c(v \lg v)^{q/2} P[|h_v|] + P[|S_v|^q 1_{A_v} |h_v|] \\ &\leq cv^{(q-1)/2} \delta_q(v) + \|h_v\|_r \left( \int_{A_v} |S_v|^{qr'} dP \right)^{1/r}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\delta_1(v) = 1/(\lg v)^\gamma$  with  $\gamma > 1$  and  $\delta_q(v) = 1$  for  $q > 1$ . Hence (F1) and Lemma 8 imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{n^{q/2}} \sum_{v \in N_n} P[|S_v|^q |h_v|] \\ &\leq \frac{c}{n^{q/2}} \sum_{v \in N_n} v^{(q-1)/2} \delta_q(v) + \frac{c}{n^{q/2}} \sum_{v \in N_n} v^{(q-(s-2)/r')/2} \\ &= O(n^{-1/2}) + \frac{c}{n^{q/2}} \sum_{v \in N_n} v^{(q-(s-2)/r')/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

As  $(s-2)/r' \geq 1$ , we have for  $q > 1$  that  $\sum_{v \in N_n} v^{(q-(s-2)/r')/2} \leq \sum_{v \in N_n} v^{(q-1)/2} = O(n^{(q-1)/2})$ . If  $q=1$  and hence  $s > 3$  then  $(s-2)/r' > 1$  and therefore  $\sum_{v \in N_n} v^{(q-(s-2)/r')/2} = O(1)$ . Consequently (7) implies (F2).

The preceding theorem has been proven (for  $s > 3$ ) under the three conditions

- (i)  $d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = O(n^{-1/2}(\lg n)^{-\bar{p}/2})$ ,  $\bar{p} > 3$  and  $\bar{p} \geq p$ ,
- (ii)  $1 \leq p \leq ((r-1)/r) s$ ,
- (iii)  $r > (s-2)/(s-3)$ .

The following discussion shows that neither condition (i) nor condition (ii) can be weakened and that in (iii) we have to assume at least  $r \geq (s-2)/(s-3)$ .

Example 4 below shows that we have to assume in (i) both  $\bar{p} > 3$  and  $\bar{p} \geq p$ . Condition (ii) is “necessary” to guarantee the integrability of  $(f \circ S_n^*) g$ . Since  $f \circ S_n^* \in \mathcal{L}_{s/p}$  and  $g \in \mathcal{L}_r$ , we have to assume that  $1/(s/p) + 1/r \leq 1$ , i.e.,  $1 \leq p \leq ((r-1)/r) s$ .

A slight modification of Example 5 of [2]—with  $f(x) = x$ —shows that for each  $r < (s-2)/(s-3)$  the approximation order  $O(n^{-1/2})$  of our Theorem can be destroyed by a suitable  $g \in \mathcal{L}_r$ . Hence we have to assume  $r \geq (s-2)/(s-3)$ .

Similar considerations show that for the case  $s = 3$  the corresponding three conditions are optimal.

Condition (i) has a different structure for the cases  $p > 3$  and  $p \leq 3$ . If we assume, e.g., that

$$d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = O(n^{-1/2}(\lg n)^{-3/2}),$$

then the proof of the preceding theorem shows that for  $1 \leq p \leq 3$

$$|P[f \circ S_n^* g] - P[f \circ S_n^*] P[g]| = O(n^{-1/2} \lg \lg n).$$

Example 4 shows that this convergence order cannot be improved.

4. EXAMPLE. This example shows that even for i.i.d. standard normally distributed  $X_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and bounded  $g$ , the condition

$$d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = O(n^{-1/2}(\lg n)^{-\bar{p}/2}) \tag{*}$$

does not imply

$$|P[f \circ S_n^* g] - P[f \circ S_n^*] P[g]| = |P[f \circ S_n^* g] - \Phi[f] P[g]| = O(n^{-1/2})$$

if  $\bar{p} = 3$  or  $\bar{p} < p$ .

For the case  $\bar{p} = 3$  we choose  $f(x) = x$ , for the case  $\bar{p} < p$  we choose  $f(x) = \text{sgn}(x) |x|^p$ . In both cases we have  $\Phi[f] = 0$  and hence we have to choose a bounded  $g$ , fulfilling (\*), such that the sequence

$$a_n := \sqrt{n} P[f \circ S_n^* g], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

is unbounded.

Let  $\bar{p} = 3$ . Since  $X_n$  are standard normally distributed it is easy to see that there exist disjoint sets  $B_v \in \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_v)$  with

$$B_v \subset \left\{ S_v^* \geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\lg v} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad P(B_v) = \frac{1}{v^{3/2}} (\lg v)^{-3/2}, \quad v \geq v_0.$$

Put  $g = 1_B$  with  $B = \sum_{v \geq v_0} B_v$ . Then for  $n \geq v_0$

$$d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \leq \sum_{v > n} P(B_v) = \sum_{v > n} \frac{1}{v^{3/2} (\lg v)^{3/2}} = O(n^{-1/2} (\lg n)^{-3/2})$$

and with  $f(x) = x$

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \sqrt{n} \sum_{v \geq v_0} \int_{B_v} S_n^* dP = \sum_{v \geq v_0} \int_{B_v} S_n dP \\ &\geq \sum_{v=v_0}^n \int_{B_v} S_n dP = \sum_{v=v_0}^n \int_{B_v} S_v dP \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v=v_0}^n \sqrt{v \lg v} P(B_v) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v=v_0}^n \frac{1}{v \lg v} \geq c \lg \lg n. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\bar{p} < p$ . Since  $X_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are standard normally distributed there exist disjoint sets  $B_v \in \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_v), v \in \mathbb{N}_1$ , such that

$$B_v \subset \left\{ S_v^* \geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\lg v} \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad P(B_v) = \frac{1}{v^{1/2}} (\lg v)^{-\bar{p}/2}, \quad v_0 \leq v \in \mathbb{N}_1.$$

Put  $g = 1_B$  with  $B = \sum_{v_0 \leq v \in \mathbb{N}_1} B_v$ . Then for  $n \geq v_0$

$$d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \leq \sum_{\mathbb{N}_1 \ni v > n} P(B_v) = O(n^{-1/2} (\lg n)^{-\bar{p}/2})$$

and with  $f(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x) |x|^p$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_1$

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= \sqrt{n} \sum_{v_0 \leq v \in \mathbb{N}_1} \int_{B_v} \operatorname{sgn}(S_n^*) |S_n^*|^p dP \\ &\stackrel{(+)}{\geq} \sqrt{n} \int_{B_n} \operatorname{sgn}(S_n^*) |S_n^*|^p dP \geq c \sqrt{n} (\lg n)^{p/2} P(B_n) \\ &= c (\lg n)^{(p-\bar{p})/2} \xrightarrow[n \in \mathbb{N}_1]{} \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where (+) follows from

$$\int_{B_v} \operatorname{sgn}(S_n^*) |S_n^*|^p dP \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } v_0 \leq v \in \mathbb{N}_1$$

which can be seen by direct computation.

5. COROLLARY. Let  $X_n \in \mathcal{L}_s, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be i.i.d. with positive variance and  $s > 4$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{L}_s$  be a density of a  $p$ -measure  $Q$  with respect to  $P$  and assume that

$$d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = O(n^{-1/2} (\lg n)^{-(s-1)/2}).$$

Then for all  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $1 \leq p \leq s-1$

$$|Q[|S_n^*|^p] - \Phi[|x|^p]| = O(n^{-1/2}),$$



and for all  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $1 \leq p \leq s - 1$

$$|Q[(S_n^*)^p] - \Phi[x^p]| = O(n^{-1/2}).$$

*Proof.* We have  $\bar{p} := s - 1$  and  $\bar{p} \geq p$ . Furthermore  $r := s > (s - 2)/(s - 3)$ , and  $1 \leq p \leq s(r - 1)/r$  for  $1 \leq p \leq s - 1$ . Moreover  $f(x) = |x|^p$ , respectively  $f(x) = x^p$ , are functions of order  $p$  (see Remark 2b). Hence the assertion follows from Theorem 3, using  $P[g] = 1$ .

6. COROLLARY. Let  $X_n \in \mathcal{L}_s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be i.i.d. with positive variance and  $s > 4$ . Let  $g \in \mathcal{L}_s$  be a density of a  $p$ -measure  $Q$  with respect to  $P$  and assume that

$$d_1(g, \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = O(n^{-1/2}(\lg n)^{-(s-1)/2}).$$

Let  $f$  be a  $p$ -times differentiable function with bounded  $p$ th derivative, where  $p \leq s - 1$ . Then

$$|Q[f \circ S_n^*] - \Phi[f]| = O(n^{-1/2}).$$

*Proof.* Direct consequence of Theorem 3 and Remark 2a.

The next corollary is an extension of a result of [2] from bounded to arbitrary Lipschitz functions.

7. COROLLARY. Let  $X_n \in \mathcal{L}_s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , be i.i.d. with positive variance. Let  $g \in \mathcal{L}_r$  be a density of  $Q$  with respect to  $P$  and assume that

$$d_1(g, \mathcal{A}_n) = O(n^{-1/2}(\lg n)^{-(3/2+\epsilon)}) \quad \text{for some } \epsilon > 0.$$

Then we have for each Lipschitz function  $f$

$$|Q[f \circ S_n^*] - \Phi[f]| = O(n^{-1/2})$$

if  $r > (s - 2)/(s - 3)$  for  $s > 3$  and  $r = \infty$  for  $s = 3$ .

*Proof.* Direct consequence of Theorem 3.

For the sake of completeness we cite the following two lemmas. Lemma 8 is Lemma 5 of [2], Lemma 9 is proven in [3].

8. LEMMA. Let  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$  and  $g \in \mathcal{L}_r$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset \mathcal{A}$  be a sub- $\sigma$ -field and  $g_0$  a  $\mathcal{A}_0$ -measurable function with  $\|g - g_0\|_1 = d_1(g, \mathcal{A}_0)$ . Then  $\|g_0\|_r \leq 2 \|g\|_r$ .

9. LEMMA. Let  $X_n \in \mathcal{L}_s$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \geq 3$  be i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance 1. Then there exist constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that for  $t \geq \sqrt{(s - 1) \lg n}$

$$P\{|S_n^*| \geq t\} \leq c_1 \frac{1}{t^{2s_n(s-2)/2}} + 2nP\{|X_1| > c_2 t \sqrt{n}\}.$$

## REFERENCES

1. D. LANDERS AND L. ROGGE, Exact approximation orders in the conditional central limit theorem, *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete* **66** (1984), 227–244.
2. D. LANDERS AND L. ROGGE, Uniform normal approximation orders for families of dominated measures, *J. Approx. Theory* **45** (1985), 99–121.
3. R. MICHEL, Nonuniform central limit bounds with applications to probabilities of deviations, *Ann. Probab.* **4** (1976), 102–106.
4. T. J. SWEETING, Speeds of convergence for the multidimensional central limit theorem, *Ann. Probab.* **5** (1977), 28–41.